

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
OF THE GOVERNING BOARD
SCOTTSDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 48**

November 18, 2008

A Regular Meeting of the Governing Board of the Scottsdale Unified School District, #48, Maricopa County, was called to order at 3:05 PM, at the Scottsdale Unified School District Education Center Board Room, 3811 North 44th Street, Phoenix, Arizona. President Beckvar called upon Dr. Meyer to lead the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Board Members in attendance were Karen Beckvar, President; Dr. Eric Meyer, Clerk; Jennifer Petersen; and Dieter Schaefer. Molly Holzer was absent. Co-Interim Superintendents Katy Cavanagh and David Peterson were also present. A quorum was present. Ms. Beckvar welcomed approximately 35 visitors.

Before approval of the Agenda, Mrs. Petersen requested that Consent Agenda Item VII. L. Award of RFP #9162, Lobbying Services, be pulled to be heard separately. Ms. Beckvar noted that there was a correction to Action Item IX. C, Approval of Increase in Tuition for Kids Club & PreK Programs, but that the correction would be addressed at the time the item was presented.

Mr. Schaefer moved to approve the Agenda, as amended. Mrs. Petersen seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 4 – 0.

Superintendent's Comments

Dr. Peterson recognized Paige Pryor, student representative from Saguaro High School, who updated the Board on Service Learning's Thanksgiving deliveries. Ms. Pryor invited the public and the Governing Board Members to join Service Learning's Thanksgiving food deliveries.

Dr. Peterson recognized the many District high school successes on and off the playing fields and congratulated all SUSD students.

Public Comment

There were no Public Comment cards presented.

Consent Agenda

- VII. A. Acceptance of Gifts \$107,525.92
- VII. B. Accounts Payable Vouchers – 10/20/08-11/03/08
- VII. C. Payroll Vouchers – 10/2/08-10/30/08
- VII. D. Personnel Actions – 10/14/08-11/3/08
- VII. E. Hearing Officer's Recommendation-Case #2008191
- VII. F. Approval of Minutes of October 28, 2008 Special Board Meeting
- VII. G. Approval of Adoption of Policy DGD-Credit Cards
- VII. H. Approve the Designation of Sole Source for Various Vendors
- VII. I. Approve the Purchase of Buses through Mohave Contract
- VII. J. Approve the Purchase of Instructional Aids and Supplies through GPPCS
- VII. K. Award of RFP #9126, Professional Development Tracking and Registration
- VII. L. Award of RFP #9162, Lobbying Services – **pulled to be heard separately**
- VII. M. Award of IFB #9174, Off-Lease Dell Computers

Corrections to Agenda

Motion #60
Approval of Agenda as Amended

Superintendent's Comments

Public Comment
None

Consent Agenda

Consent Agenda – Continued

- VII. N. Ratification of Procurement Items Approved by Superintendent or Designees
- VII. O. Approval of Minutes of October 28, 2008 Regular Board Meeting
- VII. P. Approval of Minutes of October 30, 2008 Special Board Meeting/Public Meeting

Mrs. Petersen moved to approve the Consent Agenda without Item VII. L. Award of RFP #9162, Lobbying Services. Mr. Schaefer seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 4 – 0.

Mrs. Petersen had requested hearing Consent Agenda Item VII. L. Award of RFP #9162, Lobbying Services, separately to clarify the issue of sharing a lobbyist with Madison School District. Dr. Peterson responded that one of the District Goals is to be very active in the Legislature. SUSD has successfully used the services of Hill Advocacy in the past. Madison School District approached SUSD because both districts have similar interests and could share some of the costs for the lobbyist. Ms. Beckvar inquired if Madison School District participated in Career Ladder. Dr. Peterson responded that he believed it did, but that he would check to be sure.

Mrs. Petersen moved to approve the Award of RFP #9162, Lobbying Services, to the most advantageous offeror, Hill Advocacy, for an amount not to exceed \$48,000 for the fiscal year 2008-2009. This cost would be split with Madison School District which is interested in partnering with SUSD on joint lobbying. Mr. Schaefer seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 4 – 0.

Information/Discussion Items

Dr. David Peterson presented Information/Discussion Item VIII. A. Budget Update – FY2008-2009. Dr. Peterson reviewed the FY2008-09 Budget, giving a snapshot of where the District currently is and a short overview of what is ahead in regard to the budget. The Adopted Budget is \$168,073,434, with Revision #2 at \$170,227,636. Dr. Peterson reviewed items added to the Adopted Budget in the amount of \$788,659. This amount included \$123,000 for Building Services. Continuing with his review of the Adopted Budget, pending Budget impacts totaled \$1,600,271 and additional revenue totaled \$1,334,559. The Budget Action Plan includes a hiring freeze, filling “mission critical” positions only. Looking ahead to FY2009-10, it will be asked that all departments reduce their budgets by 8%, a review of staffing in administration, classified and certified areas with a probable reduction in force (RIF), hopefully through attrition, taking place. Impacts to the FY2009-10 Budget will include utilities, insurance, ASRS increase, contingency and contract agreements with outside services. Dr. Peterson stated that he would like to see more funds put aside for contingency. He also stated that although the outlook isn’t as positive as he would like it, SUSD is healthy and what most people do not realize is that there was a tax cut this year of \$.79 in this District when most districts raised taxes and that the District is being fiscally responsible, while at the same time being held to formulas set by the State of Arizona.

Discussion ensued. Mr. Schaefer asked how much leeway is given to Principals to approve projects that they feel are necessary in their schools. Dr. Peterson replied that where they can, they try to accommodate all requests. However, this year, there were no building renewal funds available from the State. Building Services does assess the entire District as a whole. Mr. Schaefer asked if the District was still having an issue

Consent Agenda Continued

Motion 61
Approval of Consent Agenda as Amended

•Award of RFP #9162, Lobbying Services

Motion #62
Award of RFP #9162, Lobbying Services

Information/Discussion
•Budget Update – FY2008-09

on ADM with the State. Dr. Peterson responded that the State was still struggling and that we have not yet received the ADM for the 40th day. Our numbers, however, show an increase of 18 students, a number that Dr. Peterson is comfortable with.

Ms. Beckvar commented that in clarification, the \$123,000 added to the Budget for Building Services was for projects that were planned to be done with Building Renewal money and then, because the State did not fund building Renewal, M&O funds were needed to complete those projects using current employees.

Mrs. Petersen asked if the reduction of 8% across the board was only for supplies. Dr. Peterson replied that it was supplies and purchased services. Further, that at this time it would not apply to school supplies. Mrs. Petersen stated that she would hope not to reduce funding for supplies for schools. In regard to probable RIFs, Mrs. Petersen asked who would be affected. Dr. Peterson responded that Administration, Classified and Certified would be affected; however, we need to be cautious because the work still has to be done and we must make sure that we have the staff available. It would be a top-down approach. In regard to analyzing all programs, Mrs. Petersen would like to see what is effective cost-wise as well as academically. Dr. Peterson stated that he does not want to diminish services; he is being cautious, but they are committed to analyzing where cuts can be made.

Dr. Meyer stated that FY2009-10 is going to be a bad year. If Excess Utilities are not funded, that will be a huge ticket for the District. Chances are the State will not be providing funding for facilities this year, and if health care costs come in at 5% again, the effects would be very bad for our children. Dr. Peterson responded that he is working every way he can to bring some relief to the District and that the one benefit the District does have is an increase in the number students which does help to bridge the gap.

Ms. Beckvar asked to what extent the District can use attrition as opposed to an actual RIF. Dr. Peterson responded that the goal is first and foremost to save jobs and programs. Administration will use attrition as much as it can. Ms. Beckvar stated that if the District can work out plans as much as it can without going through a RIF and then hiring back during the summer, it would avoid a very unsettling atmosphere. Dr. Peterson stated that if the State does not fund Excess Utilities, that would be a \$5 million loss which would result in a RIF. Ms. Beckvar stated that she agreed with Dr. Peterson and that there should be more funds put aside for contingency. Mr. Schaefer added that he would like to see an alternative plan developed for a contingency worst-case scenario for a budget shortfall of \$7-\$8 million, seeing what can be done in personnel and consolidating expenditures and other opportunities that are available. Dr. Peterson responded that Administration could do that, but his only caveat was that he wanted to be sure not to scare people. Ms. Beckvar commented that in the past, administration has presented worst-case scenarios in terms of the Budget planning process to the Board. She feels that would be helpful to the Board in case they need to move forward.

Dr. Althe Allen, Executive Director of Secondary Education, and Dr. Jackie Olson, Director of Curriculum and Assessment, presented Information/Discussion Item VIII. B. Revisions to High School Planning Guide – 2009-2010. Dr. Allen and Dr. Olson gave a PowerPoint presentation. Dr. Allen began by recognizing the committee membership, which included principals, assistant principals, curriculum specialists and parents. The High School Planning Guide (HSPG) philosophy affirmed that the Guide represents courses that all students should have the opportunity to take and that courses

•Revisions to High
School Planning Guide
– 2009-2010

will “make” based on student enrollment during the registration process. Also, the HSPG provides specialty courses offered at each HS campus. The recommended modifications for the 2009-10 HSPG include:

Procedural Modifications

- Education Career Action Plans
- Freshman Transition
- Joint Enrollment for Middle School Students
- Clarified Rank in Class Section
- Course Load Credits
- SUSD Online Learning

Dr. Olson reviewed the recommended modifications for the HSPG. Some of the additions included integrated English I in World History, English Immersion Studies courses to align with ELD model, IB Economics, and two new Math courses with Algebra II as a prerequisite. One of the deletions that was made regarded Physical Education. There was concern expressed by parents about the waiver and if there were going to be changes made. The HS department chairs met and they were very satisfied with how the waiver was written but they did not like the word “waiver,” as it implied that there would be no course requirements. The PE waiver has not been changed other than the removal of the word “waiver.” The course still requires the four course components: fitness, wellness, knowledge and skills, and physical activity. Dr. Olson continued with an update on Math. Two new courses based on the Algebra II prerequisite (additional courses to come) were developed. Also, in order for all students to be successful in future Math courses that are required to graduate, all students enrolled in Algebra I will be held to the same standards and expectations and treated with equity with regard to grading and credits towards graduation requirements.

Dr. Allen reviewed the next steps for the HSPG. The HSPG will be presented for the Board’s approval on December 2, 2008. Upon approval it will be posted online and printed for distribution in January 2009. On December 3, 2008, all middle school and high school counselors will receive professional development on the updated HSPG which will particularly highlight the updated student planning section. Students will begin registering online using Genesis in January 2009. Future discussions with the Board will include increasing rigor through the utilization of dual enrollment and Advanced Placement (AP) courses and on courses designed to develop 21st Century Skills for all students. Dr. Allen stated that they would like to have a Work/Study meeting with the Board in the Spring. Ms. Beckvar responded that Dr. Allen should work with Mrs. Como, Mrs. Cavanagh and Dr. Peterson to schedule a date for that Work/Study.

Discussion began with Mrs. Petersen asking if the Freshman transition program with counselors will be available at all schools this year. Dr. Allen responded that yes, it would be and that the counselors have submitted their transition plans to Dr. Sackos and Dr. Laczko-Kerr. Mrs. Petersen stated that online learning is one of the District’s “greatest kept secrets,” and asked how Administration is getting word out to parents. Dr. Allen responded that there have been some transition issues in moving from NovaNet to Pinnacle Online, and also in looking at some other options. The intent would be that when that information is solidified and available, it will be part of the HSPG online so that the information would be clear and accessible to parents. Ms. Beckvar added that the committee might want to consider placing something in the Planning Guide referencing where one could find information on online courses. Mrs. Petersen asked about the \$150 charge for a student to take more than six classes. Dr.

Peterson responded that a lot of students are taking additional credits that they cannot fit into their regular schedule and that the State only funds districts for six credits. These additional credits are electives above and beyond that. This is standard procedure across the state. Mrs. Cavanagh added that this is not about Band; Band does take place as a zero hour, and it is also not about any of our students who are taking additional support to pass AIMS for graduation requirement. Ms. Beckvar stated that another alternative would be to staff all other classes at a higher level. Mrs. Petersen asked if this could be monitored. Mrs. Petersen next asked about the PE Waiver, stating that she has heard from parents that it is impossible to pass this. Dr. Olson responded that there have been a few students who have passed the waiver process and that some level of proficiency is needed to be exempted from the class. Dr. Olson stated that she has heard that it is challenging for some students to complete the entire Waiver and that Department Chairs are willing to look at other options. However, they believe that this is what is needed to be done for students. Mrs. Petersen responded that she did not think it was right that it should be harder than the current PE requirement and that typically the students who are asking for the Waiver are in highly performing sports or in Marching Band. Dr. Olson responded that she would be happy to bring it back to the Department Chairs and see if revisions could be made. Mrs. Cavanagh added that they will work with the Department Chairs, Assistant Principals, and Dr. Laczko-Kerr to review what is required and bring their findings back to the Board on December 16th.

Mrs. Petersen next asked about Algebra I for eighth graders. Last Spring, the Board decided that eighth graders taking Algebra I should be rewarded with high school credit because they were taking high school work. What has been since discovered is that the unfortunate part of this reward is that it has turned into a “punishment.” The students receive a 4.8, so those students starting high school are starting at a lower grade point versus the way it used to be; when they took eighth grade Math, they had the opportunity to go into an honors class in high school, therefore starting out with a 5.0. A lot of the parents were asking for an “opt-in” type of option, where they could say, ‘yes, I want the credit,’ or ‘no, I don’t want the credit.’ The concern is that the student will be starting high school with a B or a C on their diploma. Mrs. Petersen feels there are students who may just want the credit; they should have the credit. Other parents feel this will be on their student’s permanent record. Mrs. Petersen stated that she feels this is quite a quandary and why can’t this be a “cake and eat it too” situation. Ms. Beckvar added that she has no problem with someone who doesn’t want to take the credit. She also stated that if a student is taking Algebra I in eighth grade, the student should be in an honors program and should be getting the honors credit. Dr. Meyer stated that it is about equity. He stated that what was happening in the past was that the students who took Algebra in eighth grade for no credit were getting a distinct advantage over all the other students entering high school. Now, again, what parents are asking for is to create inequity. Dr. Meyer stated, as a Board, they should create equity within the District. Parents always have the choice not to have their student in the class and also have the choice, if their student gets a C or a D in the class, they can retake the class again in their Freshman year and the better of the two grades is the grade that goes into calculating their GPA. The Board further discussed the issue and whether or not there was an option for those students taking Algebra in eighth grade and getting the information to parents in a timely manner so the student can opt out and not take Algebra in the eighth grade if they don’t feel their student is ready to perform at a high school level. Susie Fischbein, Math Curriculum Specialist, stated that there are already two parent nights planned with current sixth and seventh graders so they can decide if they do or do not want Algebra in eighth grade.

Mrs. Cavanagh recapped: Administration needs to have a lot more communication with parents regarding Algebra I. Ms. Beckvar added that she felt it would be very appropriate for a middle school parent to sign that their child opted in and will be taking Algebra I and will be getting high school credit on their transcript. Mrs. Cavanagh agreed that would be part of the process. Also, appropriate placement is very important. Mrs. Cavanagh continued that Administration will go forward with the recommendation as it is listed in the HSPG but that the Board would receive an update before the December 2nd Board Meeting. Ms. Beckvar asked if there was an alternative for students who aren't ready for a high school Algebra class in eighth grade and that perhaps there should be a third option listed.

Mr. Schaefer next asked what the financial impact is on the \$150 that students pay for the seventh hour class and if it will be difficult to administer. Dr. Peterson responded that the fee is in alignment with the District's online agreement. Further, Community Schools is set up to administer the collecting of the fee. Mr. Schaefer stated that he felt it would be wise to include college admission personnel in the District's Planning Guide to ensure that we are aligning the course content and description with what is required in college. It was noted that Mrs. Fischbein has already planned meetings with college admissions personnel. This item will be brought back on December 2nd as an Action Item with information on:

- How the online learning course offerings will be advertised
- The PE Waiver process
- Information on option for Algebra I, including parental signature

Mrs. Cavanagh introduced Sharon Grant, Administrative Assistant for Professional Development; Dan Granger, President of the Scottsdale Support Personnel Association (SSPA); and Clay McAllester, Employee Relations Administrator, who presented Information/Discussion Item VIII. Classified Pay for Performance Plan 2008-2009. A PowerPoint was given outlining the purpose of the Plan, which is to support classified employees in their professional development beyond the daily scope of their work. All regular classified employees employed prior to September 1, 2008 and remaining employed through May 27, 2009 will be eligible. However, employees in temporary assignments, limited assignments, new to the District, or those covered by the Nutritional Services incentive plan will not be eligible. The Pay for Performance Committee will verify that the components of the plan have been completed and will then submit to the District for payment on June 30, 2009.

Discussion followed. Ms. Beckvar stated that there is a goal setting process and asked if the goals are measurable showing additional improvement and if the supervisor will have input. Ms. Grant responded that the reflection form that will be used is the same as the School Improvement Plan form used by teachers and that goals would be measurable. Also, supervisors will have input and will have final approval. Mr. Schaefer commented that he is "all for it and totally supportive." He asked who is teaching the classes or providing the services. Ms. Grant responded that all of the courses have not been established as yet, but expect to have all courses listed on the Classified Professional Development calendar by January 15, 2009. Ms. Beckvar commented that the plan stated there will be release time and that the employee will not have to go outside of their workday. Ms. Grant responded that courses would be offered during the work day and principals and supervisors will be given the District's volunteer list to help them cover the employee's work area. Mrs. Petersen stated that she is very excited about this program but would like to see a follow-up presented to the Board next Fall to update them and to make sure that the goals are measurable.

Brought Back as an
Action Item on 12/2/08

•Classified Pay for
Performance Plan 2008-
2009•

Update in Fall 2009

This will be brought back for approval on December 16th as a Consent Item.

Action Items

Ms. Beckvar presented Action Item IX. A. Proclamation for Support Staff Week – November 17-21, 2008 and, after reading the Proclamation, presented the certificate to Dan Granger, President of the SSPA.

Ms. Beckvar moved to approve the Proclamation for Support Staff Week – November 17-21, 2008. Mrs. Petersen seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 4 – 0.

Ms. Beckvar presented Action Item IX. B. Leadership Profile Report from Hazard, Young & Attea (HYA) – Superintendent Search Consultants. Dr. Schroeder from HYA reviewed the revised draft as discussed at the Special Meeting earlier on November 18, 2008.

SUMMARY OF CHARACTERISTICS DESIRED – 11/18/08

Utilizing input received from staff, parents and other constituents, the Governing Board of the Scottsdale Unified School District is seeking a Superintendent who possesses the following attributes and skills:

An educator who believes that:

- Students are the focus of all that we do
- High levels of achievement can be attained by all students
- All voices must be respectfully heard
- Building and/or strengthening relationships with the entire community is critical to the success of the District
- Lasting improvement occurs through inclusive leadership
- All must be held accountable
- Creative thinking and professional risk taking are to be encouraged
- Involvement throughout the District and community is critical
- We grow stronger through diversity

A leader who has demonstrated the ability to:

- Improve student learning and academic performance by focusing on the academic needs of all students
- Develop a vision for the future and foster a climate to bring that vision to fruition
- Look upon the District systemically with a focus on continuous improvement
- Work productively with employee groups, the legislature and the media
- Authentically communicate with all stakeholders
- Hire, develop, retain and hold accountable a quality, diverse staff at all levels
- Apply private sector management and organizational concepts in the educational environment
- Understand, respect and work productively in a large, diverse District
- Work creatively under financial constraints
- Work under public scrutiny and the associated pressures of the position

An individual who exhibits:

- Actions that put students first

Consent Agenda
12/16/08

Action Items

- Proclamation-Support Staff Week

Motion #63

Approval of
Proclamation of Support
Staff Week

- Leadership Profile Report

Summary of
Characteristics Desired

- Respect and value for everyone without regard to their background
- A work ethic that embraces open and direct service to students, staff and community
- Fairness, honesty, integrity and the courage to take positions that are in the best interest of students
- A willingness to learn about and become part of the community

Eligibility for certification in the State of Arizona is required.

An earned doctorate from an accredited institution and experience as a superintendent are preferred.

Mrs. Petersen moved to approve from HYA, the synopsis of the Leadership Profile that has been developed, as well as Summary of Characteristics Desired as the criteria for the search for a new Superintendent. Mr. Schaefer seconded the motion.

Ms. Beckvar thanked everyone who participated in the development of the Profile, stating that their input is valued by the Board.

The motion was approved with a vote of 4 – 0.

Ms. Beckvar noted that there were corrections to the Agenda page for Action Item IX. C. Approval of Increase in Tuition for Kids Club & PreK Programs and asked Dr. Milissa Sackos to clarify. Dr. Sackos stated that the Recommendation should read: *It is recommended that the Governing Board approve a monthly tuition increase of tuition fees for Kids Club and Pre-K programs beginning in January 2009. Specifically, monthly increases of \$5 in Kids Club and \$25 \$50 in Pre-K to begin in January 2009. Further, a monthly tuition increase for Kids Club and Pre-K extends to the 2009-2010 SY as identified in the fee schedule below.* Further, under Background, listed under Kids Club Fee Schedule, the following was corrected: *2008/2009: \$215/\$220 (January 08 09).*

Dr. Sackos stated that even with these increases, SUSD is still remains very competitive and that the average cost for this service valley-wide is \$625 - \$800 per month. This increase is imperative to maintain the District's programs. Mrs. Petersen stated that she did not like asking for an increase in mid-stream and asked why the District is losing money. Dr. Sackos responded that although the Department of Health allows for a ratio of 30:1 with a certified teacher and a classified employee in the classroom, SUSD has kept their ratio at approximately 22:1, not wishing to cram the classes with that many students. Also, some Pre-K programs have experienced lower enrollment than anticipated. Dr. Sackos stated that Administration is looking at a break-even number for next Fall and at the same time trying to balance the needs of the community in early childhood education for those schools that need a boost in increasing academic achievement. Also, Administration has applied for a 21st Century Grant and is looking at funding for early childhood development. Mrs. Petersen repeated that she cannot agree to an increase in January, although she is supportive of an increase next August.

Mr. Schaefer asked what the basis for the increase is. He would like to see a revenue and expense analysis. Ms. Beckvar responded that Dr. Peterson has that analysis. Dr. Peterson stated that he would forward that to Mr. Schaefer. Ms. Beckvar stated that it sounds to her that the reason for the increase is that Administration had originally anticipated one staffing level, 32:2, and wound up staffing at 22:2. Dr. Sackos responded that is in part what Administration has tried to do, but that SUSD's fees

Motion #64
Approval of Leadership Profile – Criteria for New Superintendent

•Increase in Tuition for Kids Club & PreK Programs – Corrections to Agenda Page

have been extremely low for some time. Mr. Schaefer asked if Dr. Sackos had an idea if an analysis was done of the demographics of the families enrolled that would show how many children the District could lose by increasing fees. Mr. Schaefer felt that this program is a life saver for some families and raising fees would be a financial concern for them. Dr. Sackos responded that a concrete analysis and outreach was not done but that lengthy conversations amongst the team was done and they had asked Community Schools to complete further analysis of other programs in the valley. It was found that those other programs had significantly higher fees. Dr. Sackos stated that they would do everything they could to outreach to families enrolled to let them know that the District wanted to maintain them in the program and that the families were very familiar with the quality of the District's programs.

Dr. Meyer stated that the way the programs are staffed now, the District is running on a \$420,000 deficit. The other option, if fees were not increased, was to increase class size. SUSD is in tough economic times and the dollars have to be made up somewhere. Ms. Beckvar asked how much of the deficit is made up by increasing the fee. Dr. Peterson responded that it was 50%. However, what is important is that the District will be able to offset some of that with indirect dollars received from Community Schools that might balance that out to zero dollars for this year. Moving ahead for next year, with the increase that would take place in August, Dr. Peterson is projecting that the District will probably see a positive of \$225,000.

Mrs. Petersen asked what would happen if the District increased class sizes. Dr. Peterson responded that classes would have to move to other sites and that the District would probably lose \$150,000 or so this year, losing more money than if the District charged \$50 more. The District's programs are the only ones that have certified teachers that are contracted with. Next year, the State is requiring all daycare programs to have certified teachers. SUSD did that this year. Mrs. Petersen stated that she understands but feels it is wrong to increase fees in the middle of the school year. Mrs. Cavanagh stated that this is very difficult, but there have been a lot of difficult decisions made this year and that no one could have predicted where we are today.

Ms. Beckvar moved to approve a monthly tuition increase of tuition fees for Kids Club and PreK programs beginning in January 2009. Specifically, a monthly increase of \$5 per month in Kids Club and \$50 per month in PreK to begin in January 2009. Further, a monthly tuition increase for Kids Club and PreK extends to the 2009-2010SY going to \$575 for PreK and \$225 for Kids Club. Dr. Meyer seconded the motion.

Motion #65
Approval of Monthly Increase of Tuition Fees for Kids Club and PreK Programs Beginning in January 2009

The motion was approved with a vote of 3 – 1 (Mrs. Petersen voted NAY).

Ms. Beckvar presented Action Item IX. D. Appointment of Delegate and Alternate to the Arizona School Boards Association (ASBA) Delegate Assembly. Discussion ensued regarding appointing a Delegate and Alternate to the December 11, 2008 ASBA Delegate Assembly. Board Members were not available to attend. Ms. Beckvar stated that if SUSD did not send a representative it would mean that the District would not be participating in voting on the officers for ASBA and to vote on Bylaw changes and the adoption of a Belief Statement. It was decided that SUSD would not be sending a Delegate or Alternate this year.

•Appointment of Delegate and Alternate to ASBA Delegate Assembly

No Delegate or Alternate Appointed

Governing Board Reports

Ms. Beckvar congratulated Bonnie Sneed, the newly elected Governing Board Member. She also congratulated Mrs. Petersen and Dr. Meyer on their re-election to the Governing Board and on Dr. Meyer's election to the State Congress from District

Governing Board Reports

11.

Mrs. Petersen reported on attending the Grand Openings for Chaparral, Coronado and Arcadia High Schools and how very exciting the openings were. Mr. Schaefer and Ms. Beckvar agreed. Ms. Beckvar also thanked all the principals and staff at the high schools, congratulating them on never having lost a single instructional day during construction. Dr. Peterson reminded everyone that Saguaro’s Grand Opening was January 14, 2009.

Dates of Upcoming Meetings

Wednesday, November 19, 2008 – 8:30 AM – Special Board Meeting/Board Workshop

Tuesday, December 2, 2009 – 5:30 PM – Regular Board Meeting

Monday, December 15, 2008 – 9:00 AM – Special Board Meeting/Board Training/New Board Member Oath of Office

Tuesday, December 16, 2008 – 5:30 PM – Regular Board Meeting

At 5:30 PM, Mrs. Petersen moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Schaefer seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 4 – 0.

Dated this 18th day of November 2008.

The Governing Board of the Scottsdale Unified School District #48

Karen Beckvar, President

Eric Meyer, Clerk

ABSENT

Molly Holzer

Jennifer Petersen

Dieter Schaefer

Dates of Upcoming Board Meetings

Motion #66
Adjournment