

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
OF THE GOVERNING BOARD
SCOTTSDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 48**

May 6, 2008

A Regular Meeting of the Governing Board of the Scottsdale Unified School District, #48, Maricopa County, was called to order at 5:30 PM, in the Lecture Hall, Room 132, Saguaro High School, 6250 N. 82nd Street, Scottsdale, Arizona. President Beckvar called upon Mr. Schaefer to lead the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Board Members in attendance were Karen Beckvar, President; Dr. Eric Meyer, Clerk; Molly Holzer; Jennifer Petersen; and Dieter Schaefer. Superintendent John M. Baracy was also present. A quorum was present. Ms. Beckvar welcomed approximately 60 visitors. The meeting was also available for simultaneous viewing with “video-streaming” capabilities through the District’s Website.

Before Approval of the Agenda, Dr. Meyer requested that Consent Agenda Item V. L. Approval of Proposed Student Code of Conduct Revisions for 2008-2009 School Year, be pulled to be heard separately.

Amendment to Agenda

Mr. Schaefer moved to approve the Agenda as amended. Mrs. Petersen seconded the motion.

Motion #107
Approval of Agenda as Amended

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Consent Agenda

Consent Agenda

- V. A. Acceptance of Gifts - \$116,174.22
- V. B. Accounts Payable Vouchers – 4/4/08-4/17/08
- V. C. Payroll Vouchers – 3/20/08-4/17/07
- V. D. Personnel actions – 4/1/08-4/21/08
- V. E. Approval of Minutes of April 15, 2008 Regular Board Meeting
- V. F. Auxiliary Operations Statement for March 31, 2008
- V. G. Student Activities Statement for March 31, 2008
- V. H. Tax Credit Statement for March 31, 2008
- V. I. Hearing Officer’s Recommendation
- V. J. Approval of IGA with City of Scottsdale Substance Abuse Prevention and Violence Prevention
- V. K. Approval of IGA with Starshine Academy
- V. L. Approval of Proposed Student Code of Conduct Revisions for 2008-2009 School Year – **Pulled to be heard separately**
- V. M. SRP Power Easement – Vista Del Camino Yavapai Ball Field
- V. N. Approve the Issuance of RFP for Athletic Trainers
- V. O. Approval to Increase Prior Board Authorization for IFB #8075, Golf Cart Parts and Service
- V. P. Approve the Lease/Purchase of Equipment through Mohave Educational Service Contracts
- V. Q. Award of IFB #9000, Magazine Subscriptions
- V. R. Award of IFB #9001, Speech Language Therapy Services – Regular and Bilingual
- V. S. Award of IFB #9015, Supplemental Instructional Materials
- V. T. Approve the Purchase of Furniture through the Cooperative Purchasing Network (TCPN) Contract
- V. U. Approval of Secondary World Language Adoption

Consent Agenda Continued

- V. V. Approval to Cancel and Approve the Purchase of Buses through Mohave Contract
- V. W. Approval to Change Purchase of Buses through Mohave Contract
- V. X. Approval to Purchase Audio Visual Equipment and Service through TCPN
- V. Y. Approval to Purchase Computer and Computer Related Product through TCPN
- V. Z. Approval of Renewal of Contracts
- V. AA. Approve the Designation of Sole Source for Various Vendors
- V. AB. Approve the Issuance of an RFP for Photography Service-Campus
- V. AC. Approve the Issuance of an RFP for Sporting Officials
- V. AD. Approve the Issuance of an RFP for Slushie Products and Equipment
- V. AE. Approve the Purchase of Multiple Commodities (Technology) through State Contract
- V. AF. Approve the Purchase of Special Education Psychology Services through GPPCS
- V. AG. Approve the Renewal of Custodial Services
- V. AH. Approval of Minutes of April 15, 2008 Special Board Meeting
- V. AI. Approval of Minutes of April 21, 2008 Special Board Meeting

Mr. Schaefer moved to approve the Consent Agenda as amended. Mrs. Holzer seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Dr. Meyer requested Consent Agenda V. L. Proposed Student Code of Conduct Revisions for 2008-20099 School Year be pulled to be heard separately to discuss the document’s parent signature page as well as the pages relating to information released to outside organizations listed under “Article A – Student Rights, Right of Access to Records.” Dr. Meyer felt that these pages were confusing to parents; by signing the parent signature page, parents could not indicate if they disagreed with any part of the document in regard to the aforementioned Article A. The Board discussed what information should be allowed to be released and that it should be included on the signature page. Dr. Althe Allen, Executive Director for Secondary and Middle Schools, reviewed the changes to the Code of Conduct which were all minor changes to the behavioral section of the documents and asked the Board to approve the proposed revisions except for the signature page and the related pages regarding release of information at this time. This would enable the document to be printed and released to the schools in June 2008. Any further revisions would be made to the online version, which Administration will be relying upon more heavily to reduce printing costs. The changes to Article A – Student Rights and the parent signature page as discussed would be brought back to the Board at the Special Meeting on May 20, 2008.

Mrs. Petersen moved to approve the Proposed Student Code of Conduct Revisions for 2008-2009 School Year with the exception of the parent signature page and the pages related to release of information to outside organizations. Mrs. Holzer seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Action Items

Ms. Beckvar read the Superintendent’s Evaluation letter, Action Item VI. A. Superintendent’s Evaluation. Ms. Beckvar noted that the Board had accepted Dr. Baracy’s letter of retirement, effective October 3, 2008.

Consent Agenda Continued

Motion #108

Approval of Consent Agenda as Amended

•Proposed Student Code of Conduct Revisions for 200809 School Year

Exception

Exception Brought Back 5/20/08

Motion #109

Approval of Revisions to Student Code of Conduct for 2008-09 with Exceptions

Action Items

•Superintendent’s Evaluation

Mrs. Petersen moved to approve the Superintendent’s Evaluation. Mr. Schaefer seconded the motion.

Motion #110
Approval of
Superintendent’s
Evaluation

Each Board Member expressed their appreciation for Dr. Baracy’s service to the District and thanked him for everything he accomplished over the last four years.

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Dr. David Peterson presented Action Item VI. B. Revision #3 Annual Expenditure Budget. Dr. Peterson gave an overview of the proposed budget revisions which by law must be passed by the Governing Board and submitted to the Arizona Department of Education (ADE). Revision #3 of the FY2008 Budget Revision for M&O is \$165,522,766, with Soft Capital revised to \$2,295,256. This includes changes to growth related to Special Ed Group b counts. The total M&O revision is \$575,069. For FY2009 Budget Projection, the total available after cuts is \$6.1 million, with a final FY2009 Budget Balance of \$515,710. Dr. Peterson stated that the Board could choose to keep those dollars in CORL (Capital Outlay Revenue Limit) or provide salary increases; however, he felt it best to put some of those funds in CORL.

•Revision #3 – Annual
Expenditure Budget

Discussion followed regarding staffing adjustments for 2009. Dr. Peterson stated that the adjustments being proposed are corrections for overages. Workers’ Compensation was also discussed. The funding for Workers’ Compensation will be mainly through M&O and split across all funds to cover salaries. Mrs. Holzer asked if a three-year plan had been developed as yet. Dr. Peterson responded that a plan has been developed that will migrate liability dollars out of M&O funds as well as from other funds. Mrs. Holzer asked if staffing for International Baccalaureate (IB) classes used a separate model. Jeff Thomas, Executive Director for Human Capital, responded that in regard to IB, the number of offerings was different. Also, every class needed will be “made” to meet the needs of the students. Dr. Chris Hulett, Research and Planning Analyst, added that those types of adjustments occur every year. Mr. Thomas stated that staffing for the entire District has been tightened. He has told principals to make sure that students drive staffing, not have staffing drive the students. Mrs. Petersen stated that in the long term, a new formula for staffing for IB should be studied. Mrs. Holzer stated that she would like to see Administration bring a recommendation to the Board on what a different formula for staffing IB would look like.

Mr. Schaefer stated that he agreed with Dr. Peterson and would like to keep \$500,000 in CORL. Ms. Beckvar also stated that at this point, she would agree; she did not feel the District was in a position to start allocating funding for salaries until knowing what the Legislature is going to do. Dr. Meyer stated that if the District wanted to staff schools differently, it would have to find the budget dollars.

Mrs. Holzer asked of budget cuts in Administration, what five positions had been eliminated. Mr. Thomas responded that the Communications Director, a Grants Specialist, an Administrator in Student Information Management and Accountability Reporting (SIMAR), a staff attorney, and the District Athletic Director positions had been eliminated, and that the District Athletic Director duties had been assigned to Clif McKenzie, Executive Director for Exceptional Customer Experience. Further, for Athletic Director duties, two high schools were piloting a program based on principal request and vacancies, and three high schools were utilizing teachers on assignment as Athletic Directors.

Mr. Schaefer moved to approve Revision #3 of the 2007-2008 Annual Expenditure

Budget. Mrs. Petersen seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Work/Study Items

Dr. Baracy presented Work/Study Item VII. A. Update on Proposals for Facility Options. Dr. Baracy noted that for the first time, the SUSD Governing Board Meeting was being video-streamed over the World Wide Web. Dr. Baracy introduced Cathy Rivera and David Peterson to present a brief overview of the notebook given to the Board Members containing all of the comments and ideas generated from the five Community Forums held throughout the District, as well as emails, phone calls and media articles. Mrs. Rivera began the PowerPoint presentation by restating the District’s Vision of 33 Excelling Schools by 2010, its Mission Statement “To provide exceptional educational opportunities for all,” and its Strategic Goals:

- Increase student academic achievement
- Provide exceptional customer experiences
- Cultivate an environment for continuous improvement

Mrs. Rivera continued, reviewing the values that the community identified at the Community Forums:

- Neighborhood schools
- Specialized educational programs
- Community partnerships
- Saving energy
- Maintaining instructional models (ITI, Traditional, FLI)
- Community Schools programs (Pre K, daycare)

Dr. Peterson, continuing with the presentation, gave an overview of the current challenges facing the District. Those challenges include the reasons for the declining enrollment and the impact those challenges have upon schools.

Ms. Beckvar began the Board’s discussion, asking Board Members what guiding criteria they were going to use, and stating that she would like to focus their discussion on individual options. Mrs. Petersen stated that she agreed with starting with guiding criteria and that number one should be the vision for 33 Excelling Schools. Mr. Schaefer stated that the District is facing a short term financial problem with Excess Utilities and long term not knowing what the future holds from the State Legislature. Maintaining 33 Excelling Schools would be a guiding principal for him. He would like to maintain the programs that attract students to the District and would like to focus on maintaining reasonable class sizes and keeping student busing to a minimum. Dr. Meyer agreed with Mrs. Petersen and Mr. Schaefer. He stated that he would like to give SUSD students the best education possible.

Mrs. Holzer asked for a point of clarification. The Board is setting parameters for a facilities’ plan; once the parameters are set, who will develop the plan. Ms. Beckvar responded that she anticipated that the Board will direct Administration to bring back, within the criteria the Board set, some additional options. She did not feel that the Board should develop the options. Mrs. Holzer stated that she would like to see successful programs (i.e., IB, Cheyenne’s Traditional, Arcadia’s video program, Pima) supported and not moved or taken apart. She does not want to see any buildings over

Motion #111
Approval of Revision
#3 of 2007-08 Annual
Expenditure Budget

Work/Study
•Facility Options

capacity and would like to see an even distribution of students over the District. Mrs. Holzer stated that she would like to see any funds saved with a new facilities' plan used towards programs for students. Further, in light of the state of the funding provided by the Legislature, the District will need to look at consolidating several schools. Dr. Meyer stated that the Board had asked the Administration to ask the community for their ideas; the community responded with hundreds of ideas. Dr. Meyer continued, stating that the Board now needs to narrow down the options presented, letting Administration know what to consider and what not to consider and what additional information is needed. The Board can then ask themselves if it meets the criteria they have set. In his mind, from a process standpoint, "the ball is in the Board's court." Mr. Schaefer stated that he agrees with Dr. Meyer and that at this point of time, the Board should review some of the options presented and given the parameters discussed, give direction to the Administration. Ms. Beckvar added that before the Board gets to that point, she would like to hear from Mrs. Holzer on just what she is expecting. Mrs. Holzer responded that she would like to see a more overall approach. Ms. Beckvar stated that another aspect is a long-term facility plan. Before the Board looks at where it wants the District to go, it needs to decide what they want to change or not change. The Board continued with its discussion on criteria.

Dr. Baracy stated that Administration would need some specifics. The Board has made several suggestions but had not given guidance to Administration as yet. Ms. Beckvar stated that any change made should not put any building over 95% capacity. Mrs. Holzer added that she would hope Administration was using program capacity. Dr. Meyer stated that the Board should direct Administration to come back with specifics that at least three Board Members could agree upon. The Board should take turns on reviewing options that they are willing to consider. Mrs. Petersen responded that she was fine with the process Dr. Meyer suggested and agreed that the Board needed to do something. Mrs. Petersen did not agree with Mrs. Holzer in regard to not moving a successful program. Her concern is with the age of the facility. Mrs. Petersen stated that she felt the success of a school did not depend on the "bricks and mortar." Mrs. Holzer responded that she would still like to use a parameter of over-capacity and to Mrs. Petersen's point, she could see moving a school if there was a facility to accommodate its program. Mr. Schaefer stated that he agreed with Dr. Meyer that the Board should look at specific proposals.

Further discussion was held regarding capacity numbers. Mrs. Holzer stated that she felt that Desert Mountain High School (DMHS) was already over-capacity. Reference was made to the DeJong study done in 2001 that used a capacity number for DMHS of 2226. Dr. Peterson stated that the DeJong study used a figure of 75% utilization rate which means that the District would have 25% of classrooms empty at one time; that is not realistic, but that is what DeJong used. Dr. Peterson stated that Administration would look at an 85% utilization rate. Using the DeJong study at the 85% rate, the capacity at DMHS would be 2522. Ms. Beckvar added that the key factor would be to use the same assumption for every high school. Mrs. Holzer stated that she would like the same utilization rate used across the District.

Dr. Meyer stated another issue is considering the change for McDowell Mountain Ranch students attending DMHS. Although DMHS is their closest school, these students have been assigned to Saguaro HS. Discussion was held regarding a suggestion from the community regarding a traditional high school in the Copper Ridge Complex. Mrs. Petersen stated that she understood that Copper Ridge parents would want a comprehensive high school and that she would only be interested in developing a traditional high school at a comprehensive high school. She thinks

Discussion on Capacity
Numbers

MMR Students to
DMHS

Saguaro HS would be a great idea; it is centrally located and could be modeled after Tucson’s University High School. In regard to the McDowell Mountain Ranch (MMR) students, she would be ready in two weeks to vote on a recommendation assigning those students to DMHS. She feels that DMHS is a big school but that it could handle the additional 60 students from MMR.

Mrs. Petersen moved to direct Administration to bring back a recommendation on May 20, 2008 regarding the proposal to reassign McDowell Mountain Ranch high school students to Desert Mountain High School. Further, that Administration provide capacity numbers for all SUSD high schools based on the 85% utilization rate for the 28:1 and 30:1 staffing ratios. Dr. Meyer seconded the motion.

Dr. Hulett pointed out that the actual utilization rate is 83.3%, allowing for no traveling teachers. Ms. Beckvar stated that she would also like to see what the adjustments would be for the special education programs at Coronado and Chaparral.

The motion was approved with a vote of 4 – 0 with 1 abstention from Mrs. Holzer.

Ms. Beckvar asked to begin discussions on the many ideas submitted by the community. Mrs. Petersen stated that since the Board already began talking about Desert Mountain, they should start with that complex. Mr. Schaefer stated that there were a number of emails regarding K-8 and traditional K-8 schools. He would like to discuss the possibility of evaluating the feasibility of moving towards K-8 as well as a traditional school and that be the basis of a potential consolidation of either an elementary school or a middle school in the redeveloping area of Scottsdale, but he also was not excluding potentially other complexes. Mrs. Petersen agreed in general terms with Mr. Schaefer but she would also like to see roughly what is attached to that feasibility. Also, she would prefer to offer that as a choice in each complex, not necessarily converting everything. Dr. Meyer stated that he would like to see enrollment numbers for the schools in the Coronado complex and what a K-8 traditional would look like at Hohokam Elementary School. Mrs. Holzer stated that she would support a traditional K-8 at Hohokam and also would like to have a plan formed from the discussions being held. She would like Administration to bring back a recommendation for a consolidation plan for that area. She did not feel she could speak for a K-8 in that area without a better survey being done. Dr. Meyer asked Mrs. Holzer for clarification; did she want to see the Coronado complex developed with the consolidation of one school? Mrs. Holzer responded “absolutely.” Ms. Beckvar added that this is where the Board needed to build in the long-term facility plan into this process. One of her criteria was to allow for growth in the Coronado complex.

Summarizing, Ms. Beckvar stated that she heard that the Board wanted to look at, from a cost and benefit basis, what it would cost to convert a K-5 school or a K-6 school to a K-8 school and what are the facility costs and what is the impact. Mrs. Petersen stated that she thought the Board said that would be an option but not to convert every school in that complex. Mrs. Holzer added that instead of placing a gymnasium on every campus, there could be a central gymnasium that all of the schools could use. Ms. Beckvar stated that she is interested in finding out the academic costs. Ms. Beckvar reiterated that the Board would like to look at the possibility of a K-8 for each complex and in the Coronado complex if in the process of doing rebuilding, if the District could do a consolidation and what would be the savings.

Ms. Beckvar asked for a motion to direct the Administration to look at the addition of a traditional school in the Coronado complex, providing at least one K-8 school in each

Motion #112
Direction to Administration to Bring a Recommendation to the 5/20/08 Special Meeting Reassigning MMR Students to DMHS

Discussion on Other Ideas Submitted

Ms. Beckvar Asks for a Motion

complex, and providing the Board with information about the cost and benefit of having a choice. The Board is not talking about eliminating middle schools at this point, but having a choice throughout the District of a K-8 program. It could be a traditional school or a regular school.

Dr. Baracy stated that he felt Administration would need more time to do the analysis and asked if they could bring back a timeline on May 20th of when this analysis could be completed. The Board agreed.

Timeline on 5/20/08

Mrs. Petersen asked for clarification regarding any actual direction for consolidation. Ms. Beckvar responded that the direction is that consolidation would be part of the rebuilding of the campuses in the southern part of the District because most of those schools are 40-50 years old; many of them would be included in a rebuilding (Bond) program. The question would be the timing; did the Board want to do a consolidation prior to or as part of a rebuilding program. The Board was not talking about doing any consolidations until 2009-2010 school year. Hopefully, the Board will have an updated Facilities' Plan by that time. Dr. Baracy recapped what he had heard. The Board would like Administration to look at:

Dr. Baracy Recaps

- Coronado complex: a K-8 configuration and a traditional school and a consolidation of one school.
- Additionally, a K-8 at the four other complexes. Also, although there is a K-8 in the Desert Mountain complex, Administration will look at the possibility of another K-8 which does not have to be a traditional school.

Ms. Beckvar added that there is no space in the Arcadia complex for another K-8. Dr. Peterson stated that, as the District looks long-term, Hopi is another school that has to be rebuilt and that maybe a long-term decision would be to rebuild Hopi large enough to be a K-8. ANLC is another example of a school that has to be rebuilt and could be rebuilt larger to accommodate the children on their waiting list.

Continuing, Dr. Baracy stated that Mrs. Holzer asked Administration to look at another K-8 in the Desert Mountain complex with a consolidation.

Ms. Beckvar added that the Administration should also look in the Coronado/Saguaro Granite Reef corridor area for a consolidation.

Recapping the motion, Ms. Beckvar moved to direct Administration to look at an additional traditional school in the southern portion of the District, look at K-8 schools so there would be at least one per complex and bring back what it would cost to provide these additional options for SUSD parents; additionally, look at the Granite Reef Corridor or where there are schools in the southern portion of the District that are close geographically where neighborhood schools can be maintained that could be reboundaried or consolidated to provide savings. Mrs. Petersen added to also have Administration look hard at, if in a year's time the District doesn't consolidate, what would be the budget cuts. Mrs. Holzer added that even with consolidation, the District will still have budget cuts. Dr. Meyer seconded the motion.

Motion #113
Direction to
Administration re: K-8
and Traditional Schools
and Consolidation

Mrs. Como asked to recap the motion:

The Board directs Administration to look at a traditional K-8 in the Coronado complex, the addition of one K-8 in each of the other complexes, another K-8 in Desert Mountain complex with a consolidation; and a possible consolidation in the

Coronado/Saguaro Granite Reef Corridor area of Scottsdale. Ms. Beckvar added she would like that tied into preserving neighborhood schools and keeping schools under capacity in the developing area.

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Ms. Beckvar asked Board Members what idea they would like to discuss next. Dr. Meyer stated he would like to discuss the option presented at the last meeting regarding Aztec and Zuni combining at the Zuni site and moving Cheyenne to the Aztec site. Dr. Meyer stated that he felt it was important to have this discussion for the reason of the Unfunded Utilities issue. It would be hard to ask the Legislature to fund excess utilities if the District was not willing to consolidate half-empty schools. Ms. Beckvar responded that her concern is that the Board had not addressed the issue of the 800 seats at Copper Ridge and the 700 seats at Desert Canyon Middle School. With that much excess capacity at those two sites, how should the District better utilize those sites as part of a consolidation plan? Dr. Meyer responded that the enrollment at those schools is increasing. Aztec and Zuni are less than a half mile apart and are within the walking zone of each other for busing. If that consolidation and moving of Cheyenne doesn't seem logical, the Board has been presented with 108 different proposals; if there are other options that make sense, he would like to discuss them.

Aztec/Zuni Option

The Board discussed this option which was originally proposed by the Blue Ribbon Committee (BRAC). Mrs. Holzer stated that the community did not like this option. Her discomfort was with the disruption of the Special Ed programs. Further, that Cheyenne did not fit at Aztec. Also, that Cheyenne was a neighborhood school. She could not support this option. Mrs. Petersen stated that she realizes that something has to be done. She also stated that she recognizes that Cheyenne has become a neighborhood school. Dr. Meyer, in response to Mrs. Holzer's concern about Special Ed programs, asked, in the original option of Aztec moving to Zuni, Cheyenne would move to Aztec; where would the Special Ed and Gifted programs that are contained at Aztec and Zuni be moved to? Lois Healey, Special Ed Administrator, responded that it is proposed to move the Academic Learning Center from Aztec to Laguna where another younger Academic Learning Center is already located. The two Success programs will be moved from Aztec to Desert Canyon Elementary School. Students will then transition to Desert Canyon Middle School for the Success program there. The Pre-school Panda programs will be left at Aztec. Mrs. Petersen asked what would be the impact on those students. Mrs. Healey responded that historically, Special Ed programs have been moved around a lot. The impact is whatever you allow it to be. If you do it right, you prepare the students. There are ways to do it correctly. In considering these transitions, it was looked at how the students could remain at a school because the next program was right there at that school as they got older. If they consolidate down to one ABC program, it was certain it could remain at Zuni. The Twice-Exceptional program was also going to be kept at Zuni or moved to wherever the Gifted Program was moved to. The Pre-school program would remain at Zuni.

Mr. Schaefer stated that he was concerned about moving Cheyenne and losing enrollment. He asked if the Board were to consider closing Zuni and moving the Gifted program to Aztec, what would be the implications. Mrs. Cavanagh responded the program is not the bricks and mortar; it is the teachers and preparing for the transition.

Dr. Baracy suggested that the Board look at Idea #340, in the "Will Work" section,

which consolidates a school, creates a K-8 in the developing area and deals with the issue of capacity. This option moves the entire Zuni population to Desert Canyon. The Board discussed this option and felt it would like more data.

Ms. Beckvar recapped this portion of the discussion. She is hearing that the Board has multiple options that they would like more information on to do a consolidation in the Desert Mountain complex. Dr. Meyer stated that there were three options they were interested in hearing more about. Dr. Baracy stated that he heard those options were:

- #340 – Will Work section – Make Aztec K-8. Combine Zuni with Desert Canyon Elementary and Middle Schools. Close Zuni site.
- #8 – Might Work section – Convert some elementary schools to K-8. (Make Aztec, Zuni, and Desert Canyon Elementary School (DCES) K-8. Dissolve Desert Canyon Middle School (DCMS). Move Cheyenne to DCMS site. Close Cheyenne site.)
- The BRAC recommendation: Cheyenne to Aztec. Consolidate Aztec with Zuni at Zuni site.
 - Panda remains at Aztec, which is the “new” Cheyenne
 - Zuni Panda stays at Zuni site
 - Zuni and DCMS self-contained and twice-exceptional programs go to Cherokee & Cocopah
 - Aztec Success program to Desert Canyon
 - Aztec Academic Learning Center moves to Laguna

Further, that before Administration does any work with these three options, there should be an update on enrollment projections for the redeveloping area including Copper Ridge and Desert Canyon. These projections would be from the ASU study and 40th Day Enrollment numbers.

Mrs. Petersen moved to direct Administration to bring back more information, as indicated by Dr. Baracy’s recap for the Desert Mountain Complex, on Ideas #340, #8, and the BRAC recommendation, as well as an update on enrollment projections for the redeveloping area including Copper Ridge and Desert Canyon. Dr. Meyer seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Ms. Beckvar asked Board Members if there was anything else they wished to direct Administration to bring back more information on. Mrs. Petersen asked if Administration was planning on bringing any information regarding the relocation of the District Office (Ed Center). Ms. Beckvar responded that in terms of a long-term facility plan, there was a desire from the community to move the Ed Center to a more central location. Also, because there is capital funding involved, that move should be part of a Bond discussion. Mrs. Holzer stated that she would be very interested in more information on the cost of moving the Ed Center to a more central location.

Ms. Beckvar moved to give direction to the Administration that as part of the long-term facility plan, the Board wanted to look at the cost and possible location for relocating the Education Center to a more central location. Mrs. Holzer seconded the motion.

Dr. Baracy Recaps
Options for Further
Information

Motion #114
Direction to
Administration for DM
Complex re: Ideas #340,
#8, and BRAC
Recommendation;
Updated Enrollment
Projections.

Motion #115
Direction to
Administration-Cost
and Location to Move
Ed Center to a More
Central Location

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Ms. Beckvar asked Board Members if there was anything else they wished to discuss on May 20, 2008. Mrs. Holzer stated that there are certain areas that the District can generate income from. She would like to see if there is potential for a site for catering services to be expanded. Ms. Beckvar added that she would like clarification on what are the restrictions for using funds from Community Schools, Catering Services and Leasing. Dr. Baracy responded that Administration will report on those topics on May 20. Also, Dr. Baracy reported to the Board that he would like to add to the May 20th Agenda an item regarding a win/win situation on childcare that would generate revenue and would not displace any programs.

Ms. Beckvar presented Work/Study Item VII. B. Planning for May 20, 2008 Regular Board Meeting. Ms. Beckvar noted the late hour of this meeting and asked the Board Members for their thoughts on limiting potential Public Comments so that the Board could have time to discuss the topics on the meeting of May 20th. The Board agreed that Public Comment should be limited to one-half hour. This would alleviate the potential of starting actual Board discussions at 8:00 PM, as had occurred at a Board Meeting held earlier this year.

Dates of Upcoming Meetings

- Tuesday, May 20, 2008 – 5:30 PM – Regular Board Meeting
- Tuesday, June 3, 2008 – 5:30 PM – Regular Board Meeting
- Tuesday, June 17, 2008 – 5:30 PM – Regular Board Meeting

At 9:40 PM, Mrs. Petersen moved to adjourn the meeting. Dr. Meyer seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with a vote of 5 – 0.

Dated this 6th day of May 2008.

The Governing Board of the Scottsdale Unified School District #48

Karen Beckvar, President

Eric Meyer, Clerk

Molly Holzer

Jennifer Petersen

Dieter Schaefer

Expanding Catering Svcs.

Community Schools, Catering & Lease Funds

Childcare Potential

•Planning for May 20, 2008 Meeting

Dates of Upcoming Meetings

Motion #116
Adjournment